IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)		
	Plaintiff,) 8:06CR125)
	vs.) DETENTION ORDER
CU	IRTIS RICHARDSON,	
	Defendant.	;
A.	Order For Detention After conducting a detention hearing pursual Act on April 25, 2006, the Court orders the alto 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) and (i).	nt to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) of the Bail Reform bove-named defendant detained pursuant
B.	conditions will reasonably assure the	because it finds: ence that no condition or combination of appearance of the defendant as required. t no condition or combination of conditions
C.	grams of "crack" cocaine i a minimum sentence of te life imprisonment. (b) The offense is a crime of (c) The offense involves a na (d) The offense involves a lar	s Report, and includes the following: e offense charged: on with intent to distribute in excess of 50 in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1) carries en years imprisonment and a maximum of violence. arcotic drug. rae amount of controlled substances, to wit:
	may affect wheth X The defendant hat X The defendant hat X The defendant hat X The defendant of ties. Past conduct of the defendant hat The defendant hat X The defendant hat Court proceeding	as no steady employment. as no steady employment. as no substantial financial resources. not a long time resident of the community. does not have any significant community the defendant: as a history relating to drug abuse. as a history relating to alcohol abuse. as a significant prior criminal record. has a prior record of failure to appear at

DETENTION ORDER - Page 2

	Release sentence	pending trial, sentence, appeal or completion of
	(c) Other Factors:	
	The de deportati	fendant is an illegal alien and is subject to on.
	The def	endant is a legal alien and will be subject to on if convicted.
	The Bur	eau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement as placed a detainer with the U.S. Marshal.
Y	(4) The nature and seriou	uenose of the danger posed by the defendant's
	release are as follows:	Isness of the danger posed by the defendant's The nature of the charges in the Indictment, the I history in California, and the outstanding warrants nia.
X	(5) Rebuttable Presumption	ons
	In determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also relied	
on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(
		e defendant has not rebutted:
		on or combination of conditions will reasonably
		rance of the defendant as required and the safety on and the community because the Court finds that
	the crime involves	
		me of violence; or
		offense for which the maximum penalty is life
	impr	sonment or death; or
		ntrolled substance violation which has a maximum
		ılty of 10 years or more; or
		ony after the defendant had been convicted of two
		ore prior offenses described in (1) through (3)
		re, and the defendant has a prior conviction for one
	OI III is la	e crimes mentioned in (1) through (3) above which ss than five years old and which was committed
		e the defendant was on pretrial release.
 X (b) That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonal assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safe of the community because the Court finds that there is probable. 		
	cause to believe:	•
		the defendant has committed a controlled
		tance violation which has a maximum penalty of
		ears or more.
		the defendant has committed an offense under 18 C. § 924(c) (uses or carries a firearm during and in
		ion to any crime of violence, including a crime of
		nce, which provides for an enhanced punishment
		mmitted by the use of a deadly or dangerous
		oon or device).

 D. Additional Directives
 Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:
 1. The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable from
 persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; and

DETENTION ORDER - Page 3

- 2. The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and
- 3. That, on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DATED: April 25, 2006. BY THE COURT:

s/Thomas D. Thalken United States Magistrate Judge